



ES.6 No-Project Alternative

The No-Project Alternative is based on what would reasonably be expected to occur if the proposed project is not implemented. Under the No-Project Alternative, the District would not relocate the transit center; it would remain at its current location in Downtown San Rafael between 2nd Street, 3rd Street, West Tamalpais Avenue, and Hetherton Street and continue to operate as it does currently. The No-Project Alternative would include the existing transit center, which has been compromised by the implementation of the SMART Phase 2 line and is currently deficient in bus operations, connectivity between modes, and pedestrian safety. The 17 existing bus bays are fully utilized at peak times and would not allow for any additional growth in bus volumes. Additionally, there is no land available for provision of paratransit, additional pick-up/drop-off, maintenance vehicle, and shuttle curb space.

ES.7 Significant and Unavoidable Impacts

Section 15126.2(b) of the State CEQA Guidelines requires that the EIR describe any significant impacts, including those that can be mitigated but not reduced to less-than-significant levels. The following environmental impacts were determined to be significant and unavoidable.

ES.7.1 Move Whistlestop Alternative (Preferred Project)

There are no significant and unavoidable impacts associated with the Move Whistlestop Alternative.

ES.7.2 Adapt Whistlestop Alternative

There are no significant and unavoidable impacts associated with the Adapt Whistlestop Alternative.

ES.7.3 4th Street Gateway Alternative

ES.7.3.1 Cultural Resources

This alternative would cause a significant and unavoidable impact due to loss of historical resources.

ES.7.3.2 Transportation

The 4th Street Gateway Alternative would also be partially inconsistent with Program M-2.2B and Policy M-2.5 of the Draft-San Rafael General Plan 2040, due to the substantial increases in vehicle idling time in the project vicinity under Year 2040 conditions and the removal of the southbound right-turn from Hetherton Street to 4th Street. Additionally, while the 4th Street Gateway Alternative would result in substantial increases in vehicle idling time in the project vicinity under Year 2040 conditions, this alternative would not be subject to level of service standards due to the Policy M-2.5(c) Downtown Standards, resulting in partial consistency with the policy. The alternative's inconsistencies with The City of San Rafael General Plan 2020 and Draft-San Rafael General Plan 2040 would interfere with the implementation of future land use development and long-term roadway improvements identified by these plans. Mitigation for these inconsistencies is considered infeasible due to the existing level of development in the City and the planned future development identified in The City of San Rafael General Plan 2020 and Draft-San Rafael General Plan 2020 and Draft-San Rafael General Plan

2040. Therefore, impacts associated with the 4th Street Gateway Alternative would remain significant and unavoidable under Year 2040 conditions.

ES.7.4 Under the Freeway Alternative

ES.7.4.1 Cultural Resources

This alternative would cause a significant and unavoidable impact due to loss of historical resources.

ES.7.4.2 Transportation

The Under the Freeway Alternative would result in the displacement of 72 park-and-ride spaces. Replacement parking within Downtown San Rafael may be infeasible due to the existing level of development in the City and the planned future development identified in *The City of San Rafael General Plan 2040*. Therefore, this impact would be inconsistent with the City's parking policies. Impacts associated with inconsistency with parking policies for the Under the Freeway Alternative would be significant and unavoidable.

ES.8 Potential Areas of Controversy and Issues to Be Resolved

On October 16, 2018, the District filed a Notice of Preparation with the Governor's Office of Planning and Research. During the 30-day comment period (ending November 19, 2018), written comments regarding the scope and content of the Draft EIR were received from regulatory agencies and the public. Additionally, a scoping session on the Draft EIR was held on October 30, 2018, at the Whistlestop building at 930 Tamalpais Avenue in San Rafael. All written and oral comments received during the comment period and scoping session were considered in the preparation of the Draft EIR. A copy of the Notice of Preparation and all comments are included in the Scoping Summary Report, which is included as Appendix A. Issues to be resolved include but are not limited to the following:

- Consensus around the preferred alternative project
- Final design of the preferred alternative project
- Disposition of the existing transit center

The public comment period for the Draft EIR spanned from August 11 to November 2, 2021. The District hosted two virtual public meetings on September 14 and 15, 2021, at which comments were accepted and recorded. Written comments were accepted for the duration of the public comment period. All written and verbal comments received during the public review period are responded to in Chapter 9, Comments and Responses.

Some of the key concerns raised in comments on the Draft EIR included:

- Use of *The City of San Rafael General Plan 2020* in the Draft EIR analysis
- Potential impacts on the Whistlestop building (930 Tamalpais Avenue)
- Pedestrian safety at the intersection of 3rd Street and Hetherton Street

• Location of the pick-up and drop-off area in the Move Whistlestop Alternative and Adapt Whistlestop Alternative and potential impacts on bicycle infrastructure

Table ES-1. Comparison of Other Build Alternatives to the Preferred Project

Move Whistlestop		No-Project Alternative		•	histlestop native	•			Under the Freeway Alternative	
Resource	Alternative (Preferred Project) Level of Impact	Level of Impact	Comparison to Preferred Project	Level of Impact	Comparison to Preferred Project	Level of Impact	Comparison to Preferred Project	Level of Impact	Comparison to Preferred Project	
Aesthetics	LTS	NI	<	LTS	=	LTS w/MM	>	LTS w/MM	>	
Air Quality	LTS w/MM	NI	<	LTS w/MM	=	LTS w/MM	=	LTS w/MM	=	
Biological Resources	LTS w/MM	NI	<	LTS w/MM	=	LTS w/MM	=	LTS w/MM	>	
Cultural Resources	LTS w/MM	NI	<	LTS w/MM	=	SU	>	SU	>	
Energy	LTS w/MM	NI	<a< td=""><td>LTS w/MM</td><td>=</td><td>LTS w/MM</td><td>=</td><td>LTS w/MM</td><td>=</td></a<>	LTS w/MM	=	LTS w/MM	=	LTS w/MM	=	
Geology and Soils	LTS	NI	<	LTS	=	LTS	=	LTS	=	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	LTS w/MM	NI	<	LTS w/MM	=	LTS w/MM	=	LTS w/MM	=	
Hazards and Hazardous Materials	LTS w/MM	NI	<	LTS w/MM	=	LTS w/MM	=	LTS w/MM	=	
Hydrology and Water Quality	LTS w/ MM	NI	<	LTS w/MM	=	LTS w/MM	=	LTS w/ <u>M</u> M	>	
Land Use and Planning	LTS	SU	<a>a	LTS	=	LTS	=	LTS	=	
Noise and Vibration	LTS w/MM	NI	<	LTS w/MM	=	LTS w/MM	>	LTS w/MM	>	
Population and Housing	LTS	NI	<	LTS	=	LTS	=	LTS	=	
Public Services and Recreation	LTS	NI	<	LTS	=	LTS	=	LTS	=	
Transportation	LTS	SU	> a	LTS	=	SU	>	SU	>	
Tribal Cultural Resources	LTS w/MM	NI	<	LTS w/MM	=	LTS w/MM	=	LTS w/MM	=	
Utilities and Service Systems	LTS	NI	<	LTS	=	LTS	=	LTS	=	
Wildfire	LTS	NI	<	LTS	=	LTS	=	LTS	=	

NI: No Impact

LTS: Less than Significant

LTS w/MM: Less than Significant with Mitigation

SU: Significant and Unavoidable

Note: Minor variations in the magnitude of impacts among alternatives are not reflected in this table, which compares the general impact determinations provided in this EIR (i.e., no impact, less than significant, less than significant with mitigation, and significant and unavoidable).

<: Impacts would be less than the impacts of the Move Whistlestop Alternative.

>: Impacts would be greater than the impacts of the Move Whistlestop Alternative.

^{=:} Impacts would be equivalent to the impacts of the Move Whistlestop Alternative.

^a Under the No-Project Alternative, the beneficial transportation impacts of the Move Whistlestop Alternative would not occur.

Table ES-2. Summary of Move Whistlestop Alternative and Adapt Whistlestop Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

	D.	Significance before		Significance
Impact	Phase	Mitigation	Mitigation	after Mitigation
Aesthetics				
Impact AES-1: Substantially Degrade the Existing Visual Character or Quality of Public Views of the Site and its Surroundings in a Non-Urbanized Area, Including Scenic Vistas, or Conflict with Applicable Zoning and Other Regulations Governing Scenic Quality in an Urbanized Area, Including Scenic Vistas	Both	Less than significant		-
Impact AES-2: Substantially Damage Scenic Resources, Including, but not Limited to, Trees, Rock Outcroppings, and Historic Buildings within a State Scenic Highway	Both	No Impact		
Impact AES-3: Create a New Source of Substantial Light or Glare that Would Adversely Affect Day or	Construction	Less than s Significant ²	MM-AES-CNST-2: Limit Construction Near Residences to Daylight Hours—	Less than significant—
Nighttime Views Near the Project Improvements	Operations	Significant	MM-AES-OP-3: Apply Minimum Lighting Standards	Less than significant
Cumulative Impacts (light and glare)	Construction	Less than significant		
	Operations	Significant	MM-AES-OP-3	Less than significant
Cumulative Impacts (historic structures)	Both	No impact		
Air Quality				
Impact AQ-1: Conflict With or Obstruct Implementation of the Applicable Air Quality Plan	Both	Less than significant		
Impact AQ-2: Result in a Cumulatively Considerable Net Increase of Any Criteria Pollutant for Which the Project Region Is a Nonattainment Area for an Applicable Federal or State Ambient Air Quality Standard	Both	Less than significant		

² This change is to correct a typographical error in the Draft EIR, not a change to impact significance between the Draft and Final EIRs.

Table ES-2. Summary of Move Whistlestop Alternative and Adapt Whistlestop Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

Impact	Phase	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Impact AQ-3: Expose Sensitive Receptors to Substantial Pollutant Concentrations	Construction	Significant	MM-AQ-CNST-1: Use Clean Diesel- Powered Equipment during Construction to Control Construction-Related Emissions	Less than significant
	Operations	Less than Significant		
Impact AQ-4: Result in Other Emissions (Such as Those Leading to Odors) Adversely Affecting a Substantial Number of People	Both	Less than significant		
Cumulative Impacts: Conflict With or Obstruct Implementation of the Applicable Air Quality Plan	Both	Less than significant		
Cumulative Impacts: Result in a Cumulatively Considerable Net Increase of Any Criteria Pollutant for Which the Project Region Is a Nonattainment Area for an Applicable Federal or State Ambient Air Quality Standard	Both	Less than significant		<u></u>
Cumulative Impacts: Expose Sensitive Receptors to Substantial Pollutant Concentrations	Both	Less than significant		
Cumulative Impacts: Result in Other Emissions (Such as Those Leading to Odors) Adversely Affecting a Substantial Number of People	Both	Less than significant		
Biological Resources				
Impact BIO-1: Have a Substantial Adverse Effect, Either Directly or Through Habitat Modifications, on Any Species Identified as a Candidate, Sensitive, or Special-Status Species in Local or Regional Plans, Policies, or Regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Both	No impact		

Table ES-2. Summary of Move Whistlestop Alternative and Adapt Whistlestop Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

Impact	Phase	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Impact BIO-2: Have a Substantial Adverse Effect on any Riparian Habitat or Other Sensitive Natural Community Identified in Local or Regional Plans, Policies, Regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Both	No impact		
Impact BIO-3: Have a Substantial Adverse Effect on State or Federally Protected Wetlands (Including, but not Limited to, Marsh, Vernal Pool, Coastal, etc.) through Direct Removal, Filling, Hydrological Interruption, or Other Means	Both	No impact		
Impact BIO-4: Interfere Substantially with the Movement of Any Native Resident or Migratory Fish or Wildlife Species or with Established Native Resident or Migratory Wildlife Corridors, or Impede the Use of Native Wildlife Nursery Sites	Construction	Significant	MM-BIO-CNST-1: Conduct Environmental Awareness Training for Construction Employees MM-BIO-CNST-6: Conduct a Preconstruction Survey for Nesting Birds and Implement Protective Buffers Around Active Nests	Less than significant
	Operations	No Impact		
Impact BIO-5: Conflict with Any Local Policies or Ordinances Protecting Biological Resources, Such as a Tree Preservation Policy or Ordinance	Construction	Significant	MM-BIO-CNST-3: Install Orange Construction Fencing Between the Construction Area and Adjacent Sensitive Biological Resources	Less than significant
	Operations	No impact		
Impact BIO-6: Conflict with the Provisions of an Adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or Other Approved Local, Regional, or State Habitat Conservation Plan	Both	No impact		-

Table ES-2. Summary of Move Whistlestop Alternative and Adapt Whistlestop Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

Impact	Phase	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Cumulative Impacts	Construction	Significant	MM-BIO-CNST-1	Less than
			MM-BIO-CNST-3	significant
			MM-BIO-CNST-6	
Cultural Resources				
Impact CUL-1: Cause a Substantial Adverse Change in the Significance of a Historical Resource Pursuant	Construction	Less than significant		
to Section 15064.5	Operations	No Impact		
Impact CUL-2: Cause a Substantial Adverse Change in the Significance of an Archaeological Resource Pursuant to Section 15064.5	Construction	Significant	MM-CULT-CNST-4: Develop and Implement an Archaeological Testing Plan MM-CULT-CNST-5: Conduct Cultural Resource and Tribal Cultural Resource Awareness Training Prior to Project-Related Ground Disturbance and Stop Work if Archaeological Deposits Are Encountered During Ground-Disturbing Activities MM-CULT-CNST-6: Develop and Implement a Tribal Cultural and Archaeological Monitoring Plan	Less than significant
	Operations	No Impact		
Impact CUL-3: Disturb Any Human Remains, Including those Interred Outside of Formal Cemeteries	Construction	•	MM-CULT-CNST-4 MM-CULT-CNST-5 MM-CULT-CNST-6 MM-CULT-CNST-7: Comply with State Laws Relating to Human Remains	Less than significant
	Operations	Significant	MM-CULT-CNST-4 MM-CULT-CNST-5	Less than significant
Cumulative (built environment historical resources)	Both	Less than significant		

Table ES-2. Summary of Move Whistlestop Alternative and Adapt Whistlestop Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

Impact	Phase	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Cumulative (archaeological resources)	Construction	Significant	MM-CULT-CNST-4	Less than
			MM-CULT-CNST-5	significant
			MM-CULT-CNST-6	
	Operations	No Impact		
Cumulative (human remains)	Both	Significant	MM-CULT-CNST-4	Less than
			MM-CULT-CNST-5	significant
			MM-CULT-CNST-6	
			MM-CULT-CNST-7	
Energy				
Impact EN-1: Result in Potentially Significant Environmental Impact Due to Wasteful, Inefficient, or Unnecessary Consumption of Energy Resources,	Construction	Significant	MM-GHG-CNST-1: Implement BAAQMD's Best Management Practices to Reduce GHG Emissions from Construction	Less than significant
During Project Construction Or Operation	Operations	Less than significant		
Impact EN-2: Conflict with or Obstruct a State or Local Plan for Renewable Energy or Energy Efficiency	Both	Less than significant		
Cumulative Impacts	Both	Less than significant		
Geology and Soils				
Impact GEO-1: Directly or Indirectly Cause Potential Substantial Adverse Effects, Including the Risk of Loss, Injury, or Death Involving Rupture of a Known Earthquake Fault, Strong Seismic Ground Shaking, Seismic-Related Ground Failure (Including Liquefaction), or Landslides	Both	Less than significant		
Impact GEO-2: Result in Substantial Soil Erosion or the Loss of Topsoil	Both	Less than significant		

Table ES-2. Summary of Move Whistlestop Alternative and Adapt Whistlestop Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

Impact	Phase	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Impact GEO-3: Be Located on a Geologic Unit or Soil that Is Unstable, or that Would Become Unstable as a Result of the Project, and Potentially Result in Onsite or Offsite Landslide, Lateral Spreading, Subsidence, Liquefaction, or Collapse	Both	Less than significant		
Impact GEO-4: Be Located on Expansive Soil, as Defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), Creating Substantial Direct or Indirect Risks to Life or Property	Both	Less than significant		
Impact GEO-5: Have Soils Incapable of Adequately Supporting the Use of Septic Tanks or Alternative Waste Water Disposal Systems Where Sewers Are not Available for the Disposal of Wastewater	Both	No impact		
Impact GEO-6: Directly or Indirectly Destroy a Unique Paleontological Resource or Site or Unique	Construction	Less than significant		
Geologic Feature	Operations	No impact		
Cumulative Impacts	Both	Less than significant		
Greenhouse Gas Emissions				
Impact GHG-1: Generate Greenhouse Gas Emissions During Construction, Either Directly or Indirectly,	Construction	Significant	MM-GHG-CNST-1	Less than significant
that May Have a Significant Impact on the Environment	Operations	Less than significant		
Impact GHG-2: Conflict with an Applicable Plan, Policy, or Regulation Adopted for the Purpose of Reducing the Emissions of Greenhouse Gases	Both	Less than significant		
Cumulative Impacts	Both	Less than significant		

Table ES-2. Summary of Move Whistlestop Alternative and Adapt Whistlestop Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

Impact	Phase	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Hazards and Hazardous Materials				
Impact HAZ-1: Create a Significant Hazard to the Public or the Environment through the Routine Transport, Use, or Disposal of Hazardous Materials	Both	Less than significant	MM-HYD-CNST-1: Prepare and Implement a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan	
Impact HAZ-2: Create a Significant Hazard to the Public or the Environment through Reasonably Foreseeable Upset and Accident Conditions	Construction	Significant	MM-HAZ-CNST-1: Phase II Site Investigation MM-HYD-CNST-1	Less than significant
Involving the Release of Hazardous Materials into the Environment	Operations	Less than significant		
Impact HAZ-3: Emit Hazardous Emissions or Handle Hazardous or Acutely Hazardous Materials, Substances, or Waste within One-Quarter Mile of an Existing or Proposed School	Both	Less than significant		
Impact HAZ-4: Be Located on a Site Which Is Included on a List of Hazardous Materials Sites Compiled Pursuant to Government Code § 65962.5 and, as a Result, Create a Significant Hazard to the Public or the Environment	Both	No impact		
Impact HAZ-5: For a Project Located within an Airport Land Use Plan or, Where Such a Plan Has not Been Adopted, within Two Miles of a Public Airport or Public Use Airport, Result in a Safety Hazard or Excessive Noise for People Residing or Working in the Project Area	Both	No impact		
Impact HAZ-6: Impair Implementation of or Physically Interfere with an Adopted Emergency Response Plan or Emergency Evacuation Plan	Both	Less than significant		
Impact HAZ-7: Expose People or Structures, Either Directly or Indirectly, to a Significant Risk of Loss, Injury or Death Involving Wildland Fires	Both	Less than significant		

Table ES-2. Summary of Move Whistlestop Alternative and Adapt Whistlestop Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

Impact	Phase	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Cumulative Impacts	Both	Less than significant		
Hydrology and Water Quality				
Impact HYD-1: Violate Any Water Quality Standards or Waste Discharge Requirements or Otherwise	Construction	Significant	MM-HYD-CNST-1	Less than Significant
Substantially Degrade Surface or Ground Water Quality	Operation	Less than significant		
Impact HYD-2: Substantially Decrease Groundwater Supplies or Interfere Substantially with Groundwater Recharge Such that the Project May Impede Sustainable Groundwater Management of the Basin	Both	Less than significant		
Impact HYD-3: Substantially Alter the Existing Drainage Pattern of the Site or Area, Including through the Alternation of the Course of a Stream or River or through the Addition of Impervious Surfaces, in a Manner that Would Result in Substantial Erosion or Siltation On or Off Site, Substantially Increase the Rate or Amount of Surface Runoff in a Manner that Would Result in Flooding On or Off Site, Create or Contribute Runoff Water that Would Exceed the Capacity of Existing or Planned Stormwater Drainage Systems or Provide Substantial Additional Sources of Polluted Runoff, or Impede or Redirect Flood Flows	Both	Less than significant		
Impact HYD-4: In Flood Hazard, Tsunami, or Seiche Zones, Risk Release of Pollutants Due to Project Inundation	Both	Less than significant		
Impact HYD-5: Conflict with or Obstruct Implementation of a Water Quality Control Plan or Sustainable Groundwater Management Plan	Both	No impact		

Table ES-2. Summary of Move Whistlestop Alternative and Adapt Whistlestop Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

Impact	Phase	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Cumulative Impacts	Both	Less than significant		
Land Use and Planning				
Impact LUP-1: Physically Divide an Established Community	Both	Less than significant		
Impact LUP-2: Cause a Significant Environmental Impact Due to a Conflict with Any Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation Adopted for the Purpose of Avoiding or Mitigating an Environmental Effect	Both	Less than significant		
Cumulative Impacts	Both	Less than significant		
Noise				
Impact NOI-1: Generation of Substantial Temporary or Permanent Increase in Ambient Noise Levels in	Construction	Significant	MM-NOI-CNST-1: Use Best Noise Control Practices During Construction	Less than significant
the Vicinity of the Project in Excess of Standards Established in the Local General Plan or Noise Ordinance, or Applicable Standards of Other Agencies	Operations	Significant	MM-NOI-OP-2: Provide Acoustical Treatments for Mechanical Equipment as Needed to Comply with City Noise Standards	Less than significant
<u>Impact NOI-2:</u> Generation of Excessive Groundborne Vibration or Groundborne Noise Levels	Construction	Significant	MM-NOI-CNST-3: Implement Vibration- Reducing Practices During Construction	Less than significant
	Operations	Less than significant		
Cumulative Impacts	Construction	Significant	MM-NOI-CNST-1	Less than significant
	Operations	Less than significant		

Table ES-2. Summary of Move Whistlestop Alternative and Adapt Whistlestop Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

Impact	Phase	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Population and Housing	Thase	Miligation	Mitigation	arter Miligation
Impact POP-2: Induce Substantial Unplanned Population Growth in an Area, Either Directly (for Example, by Proposing New Homes and Businesses) or Indirectly (for Example, Through Extension of Roads or Other Infrastructure)	Both	Less than significant		
Impact POP-2: Displace Substantial Numbers of Existing People or Housing, Necessitating the Construction of Replacement Housing Elsewhere	Both	No impact		
Cumulative Impacts	Both	Less than significant		
Public Services and Recreation				
Impact PS-1: Result in Substantial Adverse Physical Impacts Associated with the Provision of New or Physically Altered Governmental Facilities or a Need for New or Physically Altered Governmental Facilities, the Construction of Which Could Cause Significant Environmental Impacts, in Order to Maintain Acceptable Service Ratios, Response Times, or Other Performance Objectives for any of the Following Public Services	Both	Less than significant		<u></u>
Impact PS-2: Increase the Use of Existing Neighborhood and Regional Parks or Other Recreational Facilities Such that Substantial Physical Deterioration of the Facility Would Occur or Be Accelerated	Both	No impact		
Impact PS-3: Include Recreational Facilities or Require the Construction or Expansion of Recreational Facilities that Might Have an Adverse Physical Effect on the Environment	Both	Less than significant		
Cumulative Impacts	Both	Less than significant		

Table ES-2. Summary of Move Whistlestop Alternative and Adapt Whistlestop Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

Impact	Phase	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Transportation				
Impact TRA-1: Conflict with a Program, Plan, Ordinance, or Policy Addressing the Circulation System, Including Transit, Roadway, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Facilities	Both	Less than significant		
Impact TRA-2: Conflict or Be Inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines §15064.3, Subdivision (b)	Both	Less than significant		
Impact TRA-3: Substantially Increase Hazards Due to a Geometric Design Feature (e.g., Sharp Curves or Dangerous Intersections) or Incompatible Uses (e.g., Farm Equipment)	Both	Less than significant		
Impact TRA-4: Result in Inadequate Emergency Access	Both	Less than significant		
Cumulative Impacts	Both	Less than significant		
Tribal Cultural Resources				
Impact TCR-1: Cause a Substantial Adverse Change in the Significance of a Tribal Cultural Resource, Defined in Public Resources Code § 21074 as Either a Site, Feature, Place, Cultural Landscape that Is Geographically Defined in Terms of the Size and	Construction		MM-CULT-CNST-4 MM-CULT-CNST-5 MM-CULT-CNST-6 MM-CULT-CNST-7	Less than significant
Scope of the Landscape, Sacred Place, or Object with Cultural Value to a California Native American Tribe, and that Is Listed or Eligible for Listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a Local Register of Historical Resources as Defined in Public Resources Code Section 5020.1(k) or a Resource Determined by the Lead Agency, in Its Discretion and Supported by Substantial Evidence, to Be Significant Pursuant to Criteria Set Forth in Subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code § 5024.1	Operations	Less than significant		 -

Table ES-2. Summary of Move Whistlestop Alternative and Adapt Whistlestop Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

Impact	Phase	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Cumulative Impacts	Construction	Significant	MM-CULT-CNST-4 MM-CULT-CNST-5 MM-CULT-CNST-6 MM-CULT-CNST-7	Less than significant
	Operations	No Impact		
Utilities and Service Systems				
Impact UT-1: Require or Result in the Relocation or Construction of New or Expanded Water, Wastewater Treatment, or Stormwater Drainage, Electric Power, Natural Gas, or Telecommunications Facilities, the Construction or Relocation of Which Could Cause Significant Environmental Effects	Both	Less than significant		
Impact UT-2: Have Sufficient Water Supplies Available to Serve the Project and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Development During Normal, Dry, and Multiple Dry Years	Both	Less than significant		
Impact UT-3: Result in a Determination by the Wastewater Treatment Provider, Which Serves or May Serve the Project That It Has Adequate Capacity to Serve the Project's Projected Demand in Addition to the Provider's Existing Commitments	Both	Less than significant		
Impact UT-4: Generate Solid Waste In Excess of State or Local Standards, or in Excess of the Capacity of Local Infrastructure, or Otherwise Impair the Attainment of Solid Waste Reduction Goals; and Comply with Federal, State, and Local Management and Reduction Statutes and Regulations Related to Solid Waste	Both	Less than significant		
Cumulative Impacts	Both	Less than significant		

Table ES-2. Summary of Move Whistlestop Alternative and Adapt Whistlestop Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

T	Dhaaa	Significance before	Militarian	Significance
Impact Wildfire	Phase	Mitigation	Mitigation	after Mitigation
Impact WILD-1: Substantially Impair an Adopted Emergency Response Plan or Emergency Evacuation Plan	Both	Less than significant		
Impact WILD-2: Due to Slope, Prevailing Winds, and Other Factors, Exacerbate Wildfire Risks, and Thereby Expose Project Occupants to Pollutant Concentrations from a Wildfire or the Uncontrolled Spread of a Wildfire	Both	Less than significant		
Impact WILD-3: Require the Installation or Maintenance of Associated Infrastructure (Such as Roads, Fuel Breaks, Emergency Water Sources, Power Lines, or Other Utilities) that May Exacerbate Fire Risk or that May Result in Temporary or Ongoing Impacts on the Environment	Both	Less than significant		
Impact WILD-4: Expose People or Structures to Significant Risks, Including Downslope or Downstream Flooding or Landslides, as a Result of Runoff, Post-Fire Slope Instability, or Drainage Changes	Both	Less than significant		
Cumulative Impacts	Both	Less than significant		

Table ES-3. Summary of 4th Street Gateway Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

Impact	Phase	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Aesthetics				
Impact AES-1: Substantially Degrade the Existing Visual Character or Quality of Public Views of the Site and its Surroundings in a Non-Urbanized Area, Including Scenic Vistas, or Conflict with Applicable Zoning and Other Regulations Governing Scenic Quality in an Urbanized Area, Including Scenic Vistas	Operations	Significant	MM-CULT-CNST-1: Prepare and Implement Relocation Plans MM-CULT-CNST-3: Develop and Implement an Interpretive Program ³	Less than significant
Cumulative Impacts (historic structures)	Construction	Significant	MM-CULT-CNST-1	Less than
			MM-CULT-CNST-3 ⁴	significant
	Operations	No Impact		
The remaining impacts are the same as those listed in	Table ES-2.			
Air Quality				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				
Biological Resources				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				
Cultural Resources				
Impact CUL-1: Cause a Substantial Adverse Change in the Significance of a Historical Resource Pursuant to Section 15064.5	Construction	Significant	MM-CULT-CNST-1 MM-CULT-CNST-2: Prepare and Submit Historical Documentation MM-CULT-CNST-3 : Develop and Implement an Interpretive Program	Significant and unavoidable (potential damage to two historical resources)
The remaining impacts are the same as those listed in	Table ES-2.			
Energy				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				
Geology and Soils				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				

³ This change is to correct a typographical error in the Draft EIR, not to add a new mitigation measure.

 $[\]underline{^{4}\, \text{This change is to correct a typographical error in the Draft EIR, not to add a new mitigation measure.}$

Table ES-3. Summary of 4th Street Gateway Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

Impact	Phase	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Greenhouse Gas Emissions				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				
Hazards and Hazardous Materials				
Impact HAZ-3: Emit Hazardous Emissions or Handle Hazardous or Acutely Hazardous Materials, Substances, or Waste within One-Quarter Mile of an Existing or Proposed School	Both	No Impact		
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				
Hydrology and Water Quality				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				
Land Use and Planning				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				
Noise				
Cumulative	Construction	Less than significant		
The remaining impacts are the same as those listed	in Table ES-2.			
Population and Housing				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				
Public Services and Recreation				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				
Transportation				
Impact TRA-1: Conflict with a Program, Plan, Ordinance, or Policy Addressing the Circulation System, Including Transit, Roadway, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Facilities	Operations	Significant	None	Significant and unavoidable (inconsistency with polices related to travel times)
The remaining impacts are the same as those listed	in Table ES-2.			

Table ES-3. Summary of 4th Street Gateway Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

		Significance		Significance after
Impact	Phase	before Mitigation	Mitigation	Mitigation
Tribal Cultural Resources				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				
Utilities and Service Systems				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				
Wildfire				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				

Table ES-4. Summary of Under the Freeway Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

Impact	Phase	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Aesthetics				
Impact AES-1: Substantially Degrade the Existing Visual Character or Quality of Public Views of the Site and its Surroundings in a Non-Urbanized Area, Including Scenic Vistas, or Conflict with Applicable Zoning and Other Regulations Governing Scenic Quality in an Urbanized Area, Including Scenic Vistas	Construction	Significant	MM-AES-CNST-1: Install Visual Barriers Between Construction Work Areas and Sensitive Receptors MM-CULT-CNST-1: Prepare and Implement Relocation Plans ⁵ MM-CULT-CNST-3: Develop and Implement an Interpretive Program ⁶	Less than significant
	Operations	Significant	MM-CULT-CNST-1: Prepare and Implement Relocation Plans	Less than significant
Impact AES-3: Create a New Source of Substantial Light or Glare that Would Adversely Affect Day or Nighttime Views Near the Project Improvements	Construction	Significant	MM-AES-CNST-2: Limit Construction Near Residences to Daylight Hours	Less than significant
Cumulative Impacts (historic structures)	Construction	Significant	MM-CULT-CNST-1 <u>MM-CULT-CNST-3</u>	Less than significant
	Operations	No Impact		
The remaining impacts are the same as those listed in	n Table ES-2.			
Air Quality				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				
Biological Resources				
Impact BIO-1: Have a Substantial Adverse Effect, Either Directly or Through Habitat Modifications, on Any Species Identified as a Candidate, Sensitive, or Special-Status Species in Local or Regional Plans, Policies, or Regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and	Construction	Significant	MM-BIO-CNST-1: Conduct Environmental Awareness Training for Construction Employees MM-BIO-CNST-2: Conduct Preconstruction Surveys for Bats and Implement Protective Measures	Less than significant
Wildlife Service	Operation	No impact		

⁵ This change is to correct a typographical error in the Draft EIR, not to add a new mitigation measure.

 $[\]underline{\text{6 This change is to correct a typographical error in the Draft EIR, not to add a new mitigation measure.}\\$

Table ES-4. Summary of Under the Freeway Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

Impact	Phase	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance afte Mitigation
Impact BIO-3: Have a Substantial Adverse Effect on State or Federally Protected Wetlands (Including, but not Limited to, Marsh, Vernal Pool, Coastal, etc.) through Direct Removal, Filling, Hydrological Interruption, or Other Means	Construction	Significant	MM-BIO-CNST-3: Install Orange Construction Fencing Between the Construction Area and Adjacent Sensitive Biological Resources MM-BIO-CNST-4: Conduct Periodic Biological Monitoring MM-BIO-CNST-5: Compensate for Temporary and Permanent Loss of Perennial Stream	Less than significant
Cumulative Impacts	Construction	Significant	MM-BIO-CNST-1 MM-BIO-CNST-2 MM-BIO-CNST-3 MM-BIO-CNST-4 MM-BIO-CNST-5 MM-BIO-CNST-6: Conduct a Preconstruction Survey for Nesting Birds and Implement Protective Buffers Around Active Nests	Less than significant
The remaining impacts are the same as those listed in	n Table ES-2.			
Cultural Resources				
Impact CUL-1: Cause a Substantial Adverse Change in the Significance of a Historical Resource Pursuant to Section 15064.5	Construction	Significant	MM-CULT-CNST-1 MM-CULT-CNST-2: Prepare and Submit Historical Documentation MM-CULT-CNST-3	Significant and unavoidable (demolition of a historical resource)
The remaining impacts are the same as those listed in	n Table ES-2.			-
Energy	_			
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				
Geology and Soils				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				

Table ES-4. Summary of Under the Freeway Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

		Significance		
		before		Significance after
Impact	Phase	Mitigation	Mitigation	Mitigation
Greenhouse Gas Emissions				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				
Hazards and Hazardous Materials				
Impact HAZ-3: Emit Hazardous Emissions or Handle Hazardous or Acutely Hazardous Materials, Substances, or Waste within One-Quarter Mile of an Existing or Proposed School	Both	No Impact		
The remaining impacts are the same as those listed	in Table ES-2.			
Hydrology and Water Quality				
Impact HYD-1: Violate Any Water Quality Standards or Waste Discharge Requirements or Otherwise Substantially Degrade Surface or Ground	Construction	Significant	MM-HYD-CNST-1: Prepare and Implement a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan MM-CNST-BIO-5	Less than Significant
Water Quality	Operation	Less than Significant		
The remaining impacts are the same as those listed	in Table ES-2.			
Land Use and Planning				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				
Noise				
Cumulative Impacts	Construction	Less than significant		
The remaining impacts are the same as those listed	in Table ES-2.			
Population and Housing				
Impact POP-2: Displace Substantial Numbers of Existing People or Housing, Necessitating the Construction of Replacement Housing Elsewhere	Construction	<u>Less than</u> <u>significant</u>	==	==
The remaining impacts are the same as those listed	in Table ES- <mark>2.</mark>			
Public Services and Recreation				·
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				

Table ES-4. Summary of Under the Freeway Alternative Impacts and Required Mitigation Measures

Impact	Phase	Significance before Mitigation	Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Transportation				
Impact TRA-1: Conflict with a Program, Plan, Ordinance, or Policy Addressing the Circulation System, Including Transit, Roadway, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Facilities	Operations	Significant	None	Significant and unavoidable (inconsistent with policies related to parking)
The remaining impacts are the same as those listed	in Table ES-2.			
Tribal Cultural Resources				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				
Utilities and Service Systems				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				
Wildfire				
Same as those listed in Table ES-2.				